

MATHEMATICS

ON THE VOLUME OF COMPOUND CONVEX BODIES

BY

C. G. LEKKERKERKER

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. KOKSMA at the meeting of January 26, 1957)

Recently MAHLER [1, 2] developed a number-geometrical theory of compound convex bodies. One of the problems he dealt with was to obtain estimates for the volume of the so-called compound of a given number of convex bodies. In this note I shall give a further contribution to this problem.

Let $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ and $N = \binom{n}{p}$, and let $K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}, \dots, K^{(p)}$ be any p bounded closed convex bodies in R_n , symmetric about the origin. Then the *compound* of these p bodies, denoted by K , is defined as follows. For any p points

$$X^{(\pi)} = (x_{\pi 1}, x_{\pi 2}, \dots, x_{\pi n}) \quad (\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p)$$

in R_n let $[X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(p)}]$ denote the point (vector) in R_N whose coordinates are given by the N determinants

$$(1) \quad x_{\nu_1 \nu_2} \dots x_{\nu_p} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{1\nu_1} & x_{1\nu_2} & \dots & x_{1\nu_p} \\ x_{2\nu_1} & x_{2\nu_2} & \dots & x_{2\nu_p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{p\nu_1} & x_{p\nu_2} & \dots & x_{p\nu_p} \end{vmatrix} \quad (1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_p \leq n),$$

taken in some definite order. Then K is the convex hull of the set of points

$$\Xi = [X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(p)}] \text{ with } X^{(\pi)} \in K^{(\pi)} \quad (\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p).$$

We further write $P = \binom{n-1}{p-1}$ and put

$$(2) \quad Q = V(K) \left\{ \prod_{\pi=1}^p V(K^{(\pi)}) \right\}^{-P/p}.$$

MAHLER [1] proved that, if the bodies $K^{(\pi)}$ are identical, the quotient Q has positive upper and lower bounds which only depend on n and p , and gave interesting applications of this result. He further showed that in the general case of nonidentical bodies there is no such upper bound for Q . On the other hand, he established the existence of a positive lower bound for Q only depending on n and p in the case that the bodies $K^{(\pi)}$ fall into two classes of identical bodies [2]. Here I shall deduce the following general

Theorem. *There exists a positive constant c only depending on n and p , such that always $Q > c$.*

In fact I shall prove that one can take $c = 1/N!$.

In the following we shall denote by $m_1^{(\pi)}, m_2^{(\pi)}, \dots, m_n^{(\pi)}$ the successive minima of $K^{(\pi)}$ with respect to the lattice of points with integral coordinates ($\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$). We shall make use of Minkowski's well-known inequality for the successive minima of a convex body, according to which we have

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \prod_{\nu=1}^n m_{\nu}^{(\pi)} \right\} \cdot V(K^{(\pi)}) \leq 2^n \quad (\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p).$$

Further, for $\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$ let

$$A^{(\pi, \nu)} = (a_1^{(\pi, \nu)}, a_2^{(\pi, \nu)}, \dots, a_n^{(\pi, \nu)}) \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

be n points with integral coordinates such that $A^{(\pi, 1)}, A^{(\pi, 2)}, \dots, A^{(\pi, n)}$ are independent and that

$$(4) \quad A^{(\pi, \nu)} \in m_{\nu}^{(\pi)} K^{(\pi)} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

For our purposes, it is convenient to arrange the determinants (1) in lexicographical order. We arrange in the same order the sets of integers $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_p)$, where $1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_p \leq n$, and in this order denote these sets by

$$\{\nu_{1,i}, \nu_{2,i}, \dots, \nu_{p,i}\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Thus, if $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ and π is the lowest index with $\nu_{\pi,i} \neq \nu_{\pi,j}$, we have $\nu_{\pi,i} < \nu_{\pi,j}$.

An arbitrary vector $[X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(p)}]$, where $X^{(\pi)} = (x_{\pi 1}, x_{\pi 2}, \dots, x_{\pi n})$ ($\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$) can be broken up into $n - p + 1$ projections as follows. Let the first projection be built up from the first $\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ components, i.e. the quantities (1) with $\nu_1 = 1$. Similarly, let the second projection consist of the next $\binom{n-2}{p-1}$ components, i.e. the quantities (1) with $\nu_1 = 2$; generally, let the q th projection consist of the quantities (1) with

$$\nu_1 = q \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, n - p + 1).$$

We shall denote the linear subspaces of R_N , in which these projections lie and which successively have dimensions $\binom{n-1}{p-1}, \binom{n-2}{p-1}, \dots, \binom{p-1}{p-1}$, by $R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, \dots, R^{(n-p+1)}$ respectively. The values of these dimensions are in accordance with the formula

$$\binom{n-1}{p-1} + \binom{n-2}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{p-1}{p-1} = \binom{n}{p}.$$

In the course of the proof of our theorem we shall choose in a suitable way N sets of p points, one in each $K^{(\pi)}$, such that the N corresponding points in K are independent and determine a polyhedron whose volume has the required order of magnitude. The following lemma is essential.

Lemma. Let p, n, m be positive integers with $1 \leq p \leq n \leq m$, and let

$m = n + \varrho$. Let there be given p systems of m vectors

$$B^{(\pi, \mu)} = (b_1^{(\pi, \mu)}, b_2^{(\pi, \mu)}, \dots, b_n^{(\pi, \mu)})$$

($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, m; \pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and suppose that for $\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$ the matrix formed by the vectors $B^{(\pi, 1)}, B^{(\pi, 2)}, \dots, B^{(\pi, m)}$ has exactly rank n . Let the positive integers $\nu_{\pi, i}$ be defined as above ($\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p; i = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Then there exist N sets of p positive integers $\leq m$,

$$(5) \quad \{\mu_{1, i}, \mu_{2, i}, \dots, \mu_{p, i}\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$$

say, such that

1. $\mu_{\pi, i} \leq \nu_{\pi, i} + \varrho$ for $\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$
2. the N vectors $[B^{(1, \mu_{1, i})}, B^{(2, \mu_{2, i})}, \dots, B^{(p, \mu_{p, i})}]$

in the space R_N are linearly independent.

Proof. We first remark that, if the assertions of the lemma hold for some set of vectors $B^{(\pi, \mu)}$, they remain true for each other set of pm vectors obtained by subjecting all vectors $B^{(\pi, \mu)}$ to a non-singular transformation Ω of R_n , with the same sets (5). For then the vectors entering in 2 are all subjected to the adjoint transformation of R_N , which likewise is non-singular (see MAHLER [1]).

The proof of the lemma is by induction on p . The lemma is trivially true for $p = 1$, since then R_N coincides with R_n and we need only to take n positive integers $\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \dots, \mu^{(n)}$ with increasing order of magnitude, such that the vectors $B^{(1, \mu^{(i)})}$ are independent. Now let p be a fixed integer > 1 and suppose that the lemma is true with $p - 1$ instead of p (and arbitrary n, m).

In virtue of the hypotheses of our lemma we can choose n positive integers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ with $1 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_n \leq m$, such that the vectors $B^{(1, \mu_1)}, B^{(1, \mu_2)}, \dots, B^{(1, \mu_n)}$ are linearly independent. By the above remark it is no loss of generality to suppose that these vectors are the unit vectors in R_n , i.e. that

$$B^{(1, \mu_1)} = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), B^{(1, \mu_2)} = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \dots, B^{(1, \mu_n)} = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1).$$

We now consider the points

$$(6) \quad [B^{(1, \mu_1)}, B^{(2, m_2)}, B^{(3, m_3)}, \dots, B^{(p, m_p)}]$$

in R_N , where m_2, m_3, \dots, m_p are arbitrary positive integers $\leq m$. Since $B^{(1, \mu_1)} = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, these points all lie in the subspace $R^{(1)}$ and, as points of $R^{(1)}$, they have the form

$$[\bar{B}^{(2, m_2)}, \bar{B}^{(3, m_3)}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(p, m_p)}],$$

where we have put

$$\bar{B}^{(\pi, \mu)} = (b_2^{(\pi, \mu)}, b_3^{(\pi, \mu)}, \dots, b_n^{(\pi, \mu)})$$

($\pi = 2, 3, \dots, p; \mu = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Clearly for fixed π the vectors $\bar{B}^{(\pi,1)}, \bar{B}^{(\pi,2)}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(\pi,m)}$ form a matrix of rank $n-1$. Further the sets $\{v_{2,i}, v_{3,i}, \dots, v_{p,i}\}$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{p-1}$ are just those for which $2 \leq v_{2,i} < v_{3,i} < \dots < v_{p,i} \leq n$.

So, by our induction hypothesis, there are $\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ sets of $p-1$ positive integers $\leq m$, $\{\mu_{2,i}, \mu_{3,i}, \dots, \mu_{p,i}\}$ say, such that the vectors

$$[\bar{B}^{(2, \mu_{2,i})}, \bar{B}^{(3, \mu_{3,i})}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(p, \mu_{p,i})}]$$

are linearly independent and that $\mu_{\pi,i} \leq (v_{\pi,i} - 1) + (p-1) = v_{\pi,i} + p - 1$ for $\pi = 2, 3, \dots, p$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{p-1}$. We finally take $\mu_{1,i} = \mu_1$ for

$i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{p-1}$. Then the vectors $[B^{(1, \mu_1, i)}, B^{(2, \mu_{2,i})}, \dots, B^{(p, \mu_{p,i})}]$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{p-1}$ are linearly independent and are all lying in $R^{(1)}$, whereas $\mu_{\pi,i} \leq v_{\pi,i} + p - 1$ for $\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

More generally, we consider the points

$$(7) \quad [B^{(1, \mu_q)}, B^{(2, m_2)}, B^{(3, m_3)}, \dots, B^{(p, m_p)}]$$

with arbitrary positive integers $m_2, m_3, \dots, m_p \leq m$ and a fixed positive integer $q \leq n - p + 1$. These points are all lying in the linear subspace of R_N , which is the direct sum of the subspaces $R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, \dots, R^{(q)}$ (actually only certain $\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ coordinates of these points can differ from zero). Now the determinants (1), for which $v_1 = q$, are just those coordinates of the point $[X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(p)}]$ which make up the subspace $R^{(q)}$. Hence, since $B^{(1, \mu_q)}$ is the q th unit point, the projections of the points (7) on $R^{(q)}$ have the form

$$[\bar{B}^{(2, m_2)}, \bar{B}^{(3, m_3)}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(p, m_p)}],$$

where now $\bar{B}^{(\pi, \mu)} = (b_{q+1}^{(\pi, \mu)}, b_{q+2}^{(\pi, \mu)}, \dots, b_n^{(\pi, \mu)})$ ($\pi = 2, 3, \dots, p; \mu = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

Clearly for fixed π the vectors

$$[\bar{B}^{(\pi,1)}, \bar{B}^{(\pi,2)}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(\pi,m)}]$$

form a matrix of rank $n-q$. Further the sets $\mu_{2,i}, \mu_{3,i}, \dots, \mu_{p,i}$ with

$$i = \binom{n-1}{p-1} + \binom{n-2}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{n-q-1}{p-1} + i_0, \quad 1 \leq i_0 \leq \binom{n-q}{p-1}$$

are just those for which

$$v_{1,i} = q, q+1 \leq v_{2,i} < v_{3,i} < \dots < v_{p,i} \leq n.$$

So, by our induction hypothesis, there are $\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ sets of $p-1$ positive integers $\leq m$, $\{\mu_{2,i}, \mu_{3,i}, \dots, \mu_{p,i}\}$ say, such that the vectors

$$[\bar{B}^{(2, \mu_{2,i})}, \bar{B}^{(3, \mu_{3,i})}, \dots, \bar{B}^{(p, \mu_{p,i})}]$$

are linearly independent and that $\mu_{\pi,i} \leq (v_{\pi,i} - q) + (q + q) = v_{\pi,i} + q$

$$\left(i = \binom{n-1}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{n-q-1}{p-1} + 1, \binom{n-1}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{n-q-1}{p-1} + 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{n-q}{p-1} \right).$$

We finally take $\mu_{1,i} = \mu_q$, for the indices i considered, so that

$$\mu_{1,i} \leq q + q = v_{1,i} + q.$$

Then the vectors

$$[B^{(1, \mu_1, i)}, B^{(2, \mu_2, i)}, \dots, B^{(p, \mu_p, i)}],$$

and even their projections on $R^{(q)}$, are linearly independent, whereas these vectors are all lying in the linear subspace of R_N which is the direct sum of the spaces $R^{(1)}, R^{(2)}, \dots, R^{(q)}$, and moreover $\mu_{\pi,i} \leq v_{\pi,i} + q$ for the indices i considered.

Applying the last result with $q = 1, 2, \dots, n - p + 1$ we immediately get the assertions of the lemma.

Proof of the theorem. The compound body K does not alter if we permute the bodies $K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}, \dots, K^{(p)}$. We shall choose a definite arrangement of these bodies. Let \mathfrak{S} denote an arbitrary permutation of p elements and put

$$f(\mathfrak{S}) = \prod_{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p)} m_{v_1}^{(\mathfrak{S}1)} m_{v_2}^{(\mathfrak{S}2)} \dots m_{v_p}^{(\mathfrak{S}p)},$$

where the product is extended over all sets of positive integers (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p) with

$$1 \leq v_1 < v_2 < \dots < v_p \leq n.$$

We further form the product of $f(\mathfrak{S})$ over all permutations \mathfrak{S} . One readily verifies that

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{S}} f(\mathfrak{S}) = \left\{ \prod_{\pi=1}^p \prod_{v=1}^n m_v^{(\pi)} \right\}^{(n-1)! / (n-p)!}$$

Hence there exists a permutation \mathfrak{S} for which

$$f(\mathfrak{S}) \leq \left\{ \prod_{\pi=1}^p \prod_{v=1}^n m_v^{(\pi)} \right\}^{p/p}.$$

By the initial remark, it is no loss of generality to suppose that \mathfrak{S} is the identical permutation. So we may suppose that

$$(8) \quad \prod_{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p)} m_{v_1}^{(1)} m_{v_2}^{(2)} \dots m_{v_p}^{(p)} \leq \left\{ \prod_{\pi=1}^p \prod_{v=1}^n m_v^{(\pi)} \right\}^{p/p}.$$

We now consider the lattice points $A^{(\pi, \nu)}$ introduced earlier. For $\pi = 1, 2, \dots, p$ the n vectors $A^{(\pi, 1)}, A^{(\pi, 2)}, \dots, A^{(\pi, n)}$ are linearly independent.

Applying the lemma, with $m=n$, we obtain that there exist N sets of p positive integers,

$$\{\mu_{1,i}, \mu_{2,i}, \dots, \mu_{p,i}\} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, N)$$

say, such that the following two properties hold:

1. $\mu_{\pi,i} \leq \nu_{\pi,i} \quad (\pi=1, 2, \dots, p; (i=1, 2, \dots, N).$
2. the N vectors

$$(9) \quad \Xi^{(i)} = [A^{(1, \mu_{1,i})}, A^{(2, \mu_{2,i})}, \dots, A^{(p, \mu_{p,i})}]$$

in R_N are linearly independent.

The points $\Xi^{(i)}$ clearly have integral coordinates. So, by the property 2, the 2^N -hedron whose vertices are given by these points and their reflections in the origin, has volume $\geq 2^N/N!$.

By (4), for all π and ν ,

$$(1/m_{\nu}^{(\pi)}) \cdot A^{(\pi, \nu)} \in K^{(\pi)}.$$

Put

$$\{m_{\mu_{1,i}}^{(1)} m_{\mu_{2,i}}^{(2)} \dots m_{\mu_{p,i}}^{(p)}\}^{-1} \Xi^{(i)} = H^{(i)} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Then

$$H^{(i)} = [(m_{\mu_{1,i}}^{(1)})^{-1} A^{(1, \mu_{1,i})}, (m_{\mu_{2,i}}^{(2)})^{-1} A^{(2, \mu_{2,i})}, \dots, (m_{\mu_{p,i}}^{(p)})^{-1} A^{(p, \mu_{p,i})}]$$

is a point of K , for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$. So the 2^N -hedron with vertices $\pm H^{(i)}$ is wholly contained in K . Hence we have

$$V(K) \leq (2^N/N!) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \{m_{\mu_{1,i}}^{(1)} m_{\mu_{2,i}}^{(2)} \dots m_{\mu_{p,i}}^{(p)}\}^{-1}.$$

For each π , the successive minima $m_1^{(\pi)}, m_2^{(\pi)}, \dots, m_n^{(\pi)}$ form a non-decreasing sequence. Hence, by the property 2, $m_{\mu_{\pi,i}}^{(\pi)} \leq m_{\nu_{\pi,i}}^{(\pi)}$ ($\pi=1, 2, \dots, p; i=1, 2, \dots, N$). Then it follows from (8) that we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \{m_{\mu_{1,i}}^{(1)} m_{\mu_{2,i}}^{(2)} \dots m_{\mu_{p,i}}^{(p)}\}^{-1} \geq \left\{ \prod_{\pi=1}^p \prod_{\nu=1}^n m_{\nu}^{(\pi)} \right\}^{-P/p}.$$

Finally, applying (3) with $\pi=1, 2, \dots, p$, we get

$$V(K) \cdot \{V(K^{(1)}) V(K^{(2)}) \dots V(K^{(p)})\}^{-P/p} \geq 2^N 2^{-n P/p} / N!$$

This proves the theorem, with $c=1/N!$.

REFERENCES

1. MAHLER, K., On compound convex bodies (I), Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 5, 358-379 (1955).
2. ———, On compound convex bodies (II), Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 5, 380-384 (1955).

Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam